

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS  
WESTERN DISTRICT**

**STATE OF MISSOURI,  
RESPONDENT  
vs.**

**KRISTOPHER ALLEN ANDERSON,  
APPELLANT**

---

DOCKET NUMBER WD77202

DATE: MAY 19, 2015

---

Appeal from:

The Circuit Court of Platte County, Missouri  
The Honorable Abe Shafer, IV, Judge

---

Appellate Judges:

Division Two: Lisa White Hardwick, Presiding Judge, Victor C. Howard, Judge and Cynthia L. Martin, Judge

---

Attorneys:

Rachel Flaster, for Respondent

Laura G. Martin, for Appellant

---

**MISSOURI APPELLATE COURT OPINION SUMMARY**

**MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS  
WESTERN DISTRICT**

**STATE OF MISSOURI, RESPONDENT**

**v.**

**KRISTOPHER ALLEN ANDERSON, APPELLANT**

WD77202

Platte County, Missouri

Before Division Two: Lisa White Hardwick, Presiding Judge, Victor C. Howard, Judge and Cynthia L. Martin, Judge

Kristopher Anderson appeals his conviction following a bench trial for enticement of a child, section 566.151, RSMo Cum. Supp. 2013, and sentence of five years imprisonment. In his sole point on appeal, he contends that the evidence was insufficient to support the conviction because the State failed to prove lack of entrapment beyond a reasonable doubt. The conviction is affirmed.

**AFFIRMED.**

**Division Two holds:**

Where the evidence showed that Anderson initiated a conversation with a detective posing as a fourteen year old girl on a dating website, he suggested early in the conversation that the two should meet that day to have sex, and he asked about physical appearance and sexual experience and described the sex acts he wanted to perform while repeatedly confirming that the girl's age was fourteen, the State rebutted the defense's evidence of inducement. Additionally, where the evidence showed that twenty-six-year-old Anderson quickly proposed a meeting with the girl to have sex, repeatedly acknowledged her age and that their meeting to have sex was illegal, and did not take the several opportunities presented to him to back out of the meeting, the State showed Anderson's predisposition and willingness to commit the crime. Entrapment was, therefore, not established as a matter of law.

**Opinion by: Victor C. Howard, Judge**

Date: May 19, 2015

<p><b>This summary is <i>UNOFFICIAL</i> and should not be quoted or cited.</b></p>
--